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Angular Momentum Structure of the Nucleon

Proton Spin

\[ \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s \right) + L_q + \Delta G + L_g \]

- \( \Delta q \): known from DIS & SIDIS
- \( \Delta G \): first indications from DIS and pp
- \( L_q, L_g \): unknown!

Generalized Parton Distributions \( \Rightarrow J_q, J_g \)

Ji’s relation — Ji, PRL 78 (1997) 610

\[ J_{q,g} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \cdot x \cdot \left[ H_{q,g}(x, \xi, t) + E_{q,g}(x, \xi, t) \right] \]
Exp. Status on Parton Distribution Functions

Improvement over last 5 years:

- spin-independent & helicity PDFs:
  - COMPASS: $\frac{\Delta g}{g}$
  - HERMES: $\Delta u, \Delta d, \Delta s, \frac{\Delta g}{g}$
  - JLab: $\Delta u, \Delta d$ at large $x$

- transversity & friends:
  - HERMES: Sivers function
  - BELLE: Collins (fragm.) function

- GPDs:
  - CLAS, HERMES, (H1/ZEUS):
    - first look on $H, \tilde{H}, E$
    - ⇒ much more to come ...
Fixed-target kinematics

- **Fixed-target experiments:**
  \[ x > 0.03, \, Q^2 < 10 \text{ GeV}^2 \]
  - COMPASS: low + medium \( x_B \)
  - HERMES: medium \( x_B \), higher \( Q^2 \)
  - JLab: medium+large \( x_B \), lower \( Q^2 \)
  - JLab 11 GeV: larger \( x_B \), higher \( Q^2 \)

- **Collider experiments H1+ZEUS:**
  \[ x_B < 0.01, \, Q^2 : 5...100 \text{ GeV}^2 : \]
  - small skewness
  \( \Rightarrow \) almost forward GPDs !

\( \Rightarrow \) fixed-target experiments essential to study non-forward region of GPDs !

\( \Rightarrow \) only COMPASS can explore low-\( x \) !
The HERMES Spectrometer

- Pure gas target: polarized H, D; unpolarized H, D, N, Ne, Kr, Xe
- Forward spectrometer: 40 mrad $\leq \Theta \leq 220$ mrad
- Tracking: $O(50)$ tracking planes per half spectrometer: $\delta p/p \sim 2\%$, $\delta \Theta \leq 1$ mrad
- PID for $e^\pm$: TRD, Preshower, Calorimeter
- PID for $\pi^\pm, K^\pm, p$: Dual-radiator Ring-imaging Cherenkov ($2 < p < 15$ GeV)
New Recoil Detector at HERMES

- Iron Shielding
- Cryostat
- SC Coils
- SciFi Connector Plate
- C3 Collimator
- Si Detector Cooling
- Si Detector Connectors
- Hybrid
- Photon Detector
- SciFi Detector
- Silicon Detector
- Target Cell
- Flange
HERMES Recoil Detector Goals I

For the study of DVCS and exclusive meson production, detect over largest possible momentum range and at best possible $t$-resolution:

- Recoil protons (76% azim. acceptance, $135 < p < 1200$ MeV/c)
- Pions and protons from background processes ($p/\pi$ PID via $dE/dx$)
- Photons from $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

Resolution from Monte Carlo studies:
HERMES Recoil Detector Goals II & Status

(Performance improvements shown for DVCS)

- Enhance signal fraction
- Reduce background contributions
  - semi-incl.: $5\% \rightarrow < 1\%$
  - associated prod.: $11\% \rightarrow \sim 1\%$

Status:

- Detector components ok, final calibration & alignment ongoing
- Tracking & connection to forward spectrometer being set up
Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Same final state in DVCS and Bethe-Heitler } & \Rightarrow \text{ Interference!} \\
\frac{d\sigma(eN \rightarrow eN\gamma)}{dE} & \propto |T_{BH}|^2 + |T_{DVCS}|^2 + \frac{T_{BH}T_{DVCS}^* + T_{BH}^*T_{DVCS}}{I} \\
T_{BH} & \text{ is parameterized in terms of Dirac and Pauli Form Factors } F_1, F_2, \text{ calculable in QED.} \\
T_{DVCS} & \text{ is parameterized in terms of Compton form factors } \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}} (\text{which are convolutions of resp. GPDs } H, E, \tilde{H}, \tilde{E}) \\
\text{(Certain Parts of) interference term can be filtered out by forming certain cross section differences (or asymmetries)} \\
& \Rightarrow \text{ GPDs } H, E, \tilde{H}, \tilde{E} \text{ indirectly accessible via interference term } I
\end{align*}
\]
Azimuthal Asymmetries in DVCS

DVCS–Bethe-Heitler Interference term $I$ induces azimuthal asymmetries in cross-section:

- Beam-charge asymmetry $A_C(\phi)$ [BCA]:
  $$d\sigma(e^+, \phi) - d\sigma(e^-, \phi) \propto \text{Re}[F_1 \mathcal{H}] \cdot \cos \phi$$

- Beam-spin asymmetry $A_{LU}(\phi)$ [BSA]:
  $$d\sigma(\vec{e}, \phi) - d\sigma(\vec{e}, \phi) \propto \text{Im}[F_1 \mathcal{H}] \cdot \sin \phi$$

- Long. target-spin asymmetry $A_{UL}(\phi)$ [LTSA]:
  $$d\sigma(\vec{P}, \phi) - d\sigma(\vec{P}, \phi) \propto \text{Im}[F_1 \tilde{\mathcal{H}}] \cdot \sin \phi$$

- Transverse target-spin asymmetry $A_{UT}(\phi, \phi_s)$ [TTSA]:
  $$d\sigma(\phi, \phi_s) - d\sigma(\phi, \phi_s + \pi) \propto \text{Im}[F_2 \mathcal{H} - F_1 \mathcal{E}] \cdot \sin (\phi - \phi_s) \cos \phi$$
  $$+ \text{Im}[F_2 \tilde{\mathcal{H}} - F_1 \xi \tilde{\mathcal{E}}] \cdot \cos (\phi - \phi_s) \sin \phi$$

($F_1, F_2$ are the Dirac and Pauli elastic nucleon form factors)
Exclusive DVCS Events at HERMES

\[ \text{REACTION: } e + p(d) \rightarrow e + \gamma (+X) \]

\[
5 < \theta_{\gamma*\gamma} < 45 \text{ mrad} \\
-t < 0.7 \text{ GeV} \\
0.03 < x_B < 0.35 \\
1 < Q^2 < 10 \text{ GeV}^2 \\
W > 3 \text{ GeV} \\
\nu < 22 \text{ GeV} \\
-(1.5)^2 < M_X^2 < (1.7)^2 \text{ GeV}
\]

- **absolute** normalization of data and Monte Carlo [solid line]
- **elastic Bethe-Heitler process** is main contribution in signal region
- **associated Bethe-Heitler process** is a small contribution
- **semi-inclusive production** is main background at higher \( M_X^2 \)
- as recoiling proton not (yet) detected, missing mass cut used instead
- \( t \) calculated under assumption of exclusivity, via scattered lepton kinematics
HERMES Data Taking DVCS Statistics (in pb\(^{-1}\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HERA-I (1996-2000)</th>
<th>H (4\text{He})</th>
<th>N(_2)</th>
<th>Ne</th>
<th>Kr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSA/BCA e(^-)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA/BCA e(^+)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contains LTSA (e(^+))</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HERA-II (2002-2007)</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>TTSA (H) (\text{BSA/BCA}^{\text{pub}}:10)</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Kr</th>
<th>Xe</th>
<th>H(^{\text{rec.}})</th>
<th>D(^{\text{rec.}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSA/BCA e(^-)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>t.b.d.</td>
<td>t.b.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA/BCA e(^+)</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Beam polarization \(\langle P_{beam}\rangle\): only \(\geq 30\%\) for HERA-II (while \(\geq 50\%\) for HERA-I !)
- 2006-2007 running will more than double statistics on UNpolarized H target
  (23M \(\rightarrow\) 56M DIS events for BSA, huge improvement for BCA)
- No e\(^-\) data set, i.e. no BCA with Recoil detector due to target cell accident
- Recoil Detector in projected shape since start of e\(^+\) running middle 2006
HERMES Beam-charge Asymmetry vs. $\phi$ and $M^2_X$

$$A_C(\phi) = \frac{d\sigma^+(\phi) - d\sigma^-(\phi)}{d\sigma^+(\phi) + d\sigma^-(\phi)} \propto \text{Im} F_1 \mathcal{H} \cdot \cos \phi$$

⇒ extract ‘amplitudes’ by fitting in every $\phi$-bin

$$A_C(\phi) = \text{const.} + A_C^{\cos \phi} \cos \phi + A_C^{\cos 2\phi} \cos 2\phi + A_C^{\cos 3\phi} \cos 3\phi$$

- published results shown for unpolarized proton target [hep-ex/0605108, PRD75(2007)011103(R)]
- use symmetrization ($\phi \rightarrow |\phi|$) to get rid of sinusoidal terms
- $A_C^{\cos \phi} = 0.060 \pm 0.027$, other contributions insignificant (dashed = pure $\cos \phi$)
- asymmetry only in exclusive and ‘associate’ $M^2_X$ region ($\rightarrow$ resol. smearing)
- preliminary deuteron data (not shown) completely consistent
HERMES Beam-charge Asymmetry vs. $t$

BCA $t$-dependence can distinguish different GPD model versions:

- $A_C^{\cos \phi}$: elastic + associated production
- d-data: contributions per $t$-bin of associated production: 5, 11, 18, 29%
  $\Rightarrow$ highest $t$-bin mostly affected
- GPD $H$ dominates, $\tilde{H}$ and $E$ suppressed
- Curves (code [Vanderhaeghen, Guichon, Guidal]) calculated for 4 different parameter sets
- BCA insensitive to profile fct. parameters

- already HERA-I data disfavor Regge-inspired $t$-dependence with D-term
- more precise BCA data from HERA-II (to be analyzed)
- reduction of background & associated contribution by recoil detector:
  expected for $e^+$ sample, but no $e^-$ sample with recoil
  (can one rely on ‘similarity’ cuts ??)
CLAS & HERMES: early Beam-spin Asymmetries

\[ A_{LU}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\langle |P_B| \rangle} \cdot \frac{d\sigma^{-}(\phi) - d\sigma^{+}(\phi)}{d\sigma^{-}(\phi) + d\sigma^{+}(\phi)} \propto \text{Im} F_1 H \cdot \sin \phi \]

⇒ extract ‘amplitudes’ fitting per \( \phi \)-bin

\[ A_{LU}(\phi) = c + A_{LU}^{\sin \phi} \sin \phi + A_{LU}^{\sin 2\phi} \sin 2\phi \]

- HERMES: 27.5 GeV p, \( P_B \approx 55\% \). Recoil proton not detected [PRL87(2001,182001]
- CLAS: 4.25 GeV p, \( P_B \approx 70\% \). Produced gamma not detected [PRL87(2001,182002]
- expected \( \sin \phi \) behaviour: significant \( \sin \phi \) amplitudes on both targets
- other harmonics don’t contribute significantly
BSA and BCA 2000-2007 HERMES Projections

HERMES hep-ex/0605108 ▲ HERMES PRL 2001 (96/97 data)

PROTON TARGET:
△ HERMES hep-ex/0212019 (2000 data)
▲ CLAS PRL 2001
● HERMES 2002-2007 proj.
(1 fb⁻¹ e⁺, 0.25 fb⁻¹ e⁻)

• 1996-2000: \( P_B \approx 55\% \), 2002-2007: \( P_B \approx 35\% \)
• existing GPD model versions well distinguishable via \( t \)-dependence
• statistics marginal for 2-dimensional dependences
• reduced fraction of associated production by including Recoil Detector, but:
  ● statistics lower by about factor 1.4 (efficiency)
  ● ok for BSA, no BCA (no e⁻ recoil data)
⇒ model comparison possible over full measured \( t \)-range (at least for BSA)
CLAS E01-113: High-stat. Beam-spin Asymmetry

- 1st dedicated Hall-B DVCS exp’t: 5.76 GeV $e^-$ beam, pol. 76-82%; unpol. LH$_2$ target
- CLAS spectrometer upgraded by inner calorimeter to detect $\gamma$’s at small angles → all 3 final state particles ($e' N \gamma$) detected!
- Broad kinematic coverage at medium $x$ (0.1...0.5), combined with high lumi → 3-dim. binning possible. Unpublished (White Paper) preview:

⇒ Very promising first glimpse into statistical power of JLab DVCS measurements
**JLab E00-110 Scaling Test of DVCS Cross Section**

- **5.75 GeV** \(e^-\) beam (76% pol.), unpol. LH\(_2\) target, [PRL 97 (2006) 262002]
- Detect \(e'\) by HRS, \(\gamma\) by EM calorimeter, recoil \(p\) by scintillator array
- 3 different kinematic settings with \(x_B j = 0.36\) fixed:
  \[Q^2 = 1.5, 1.9, 2.3 \text{ GeV}^2.\] For each: \(-t = 0.17, 0.23, 0.28, 0.33 \text{ GeV}\)
- **Measured separately:** \[
\frac{d^4 \Sigma}{d^4 \Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{d^4 \sigma^+}{d^4 \Phi} - \frac{d^4 \sigma^-}{d^4 \Phi} \right]\]
  \[
\frac{d^4 \sigma}{d^4 \Phi} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{d^4 \sigma^+}{d^4 \Phi} + \frac{d^4 \sigma^-}{d^4 \Phi} \right]\]

⇒ distinct information on GPDs:
- \[
\frac{d^4 \sigma}{d^4 \Phi} \propto \text{Re } \mathcal{I}: \text{ same as in BCA}
\]
- \[
\frac{d^4 \Sigma}{d^4 \Phi} \propto \text{Im } \mathcal{I}: \text{ as in BSA numer.}
\]
- **Fit following terms separately:**
  - \(|BH^2|\) (dot-dot-dashed),
  - twist-2 int. term (dashed),
  - twist-3 int. term (dot-dashed)
- \(|DVCS|^2\) found below few %
- **Twist-3 terms small**
- \[
\frac{d^4 \sigma}{d^4 \Phi} >> |BH^2| \rightarrow \text{ (relative) BSA should not be directly identified with Im } \mathcal{I}!
\]
DVCS on Nuclear Targets

INCOHERENT PRODUCTION:
- nucleus breaks up & scattering occurs on single nucleon
- neutron e.m. form factor is small for small & medium $t$
  $\rightarrow$ BH neutron cross section small, hence also the interference term $I$
  $\rightarrow$ asymmetry in incoherent nuclear DVCS similar to that on the proton

COHERENT PRODUCTION:
- scattering occurs on the whole nucleus
  $\rightarrow$ coherent nuclear DVCS proceeds preferentially at very low $t$
  $\rightarrow$ obtain enriched samples by ‘separation cut’ at $t = 0.03\ldots0.05$ GeV$^2$

GPD-based MODELS:
- describe modifications of parton-parton correlations in nuclear environment
  $\rightarrow$ dynamical interplay within highly complex bound hadronic systems?
- tool to compare to theory predictions: $\frac{A_{\text{nucleus}}}{A_{\text{proton}}}$ (generalized EMC effect)
HERMES Nuclear DVCS: Beam-spin Asymmetry

- Targets: $^2\text{H}$, $^4\text{He}$, $^{14}\text{N}$, $^{20}\text{Ne}$, $^{82-86}\text{Kr}$, $^{129-134}\text{Xe}$
- Clear $\sin\phi$ amplitudes seen in exclusive region

MODEL PREDICTIONS by Guzey&Strikhman [PRC68(2003)015204]:

- Coherent-enriched region ($\langle -t \rangle = 0.018 \text{ GeV}^2$): $\text{BSA}(A)/\text{BSA}(p) \approx 1.8$
- Incoherent-enriched region ($\langle -t \rangle = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$): $\text{BSA}(A)/\text{BSA}(p) \approx 1$

⇒ good agreement with model predictions
HERMES Long. Target-spin Asymmetry vs. $\phi$

$$A_{UL}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\langle |P_L| \rangle} \cdot \frac{d\sigma^{\rightarrow}(\phi) - d\sigma^{\leftarrow}(\phi)}{d\sigma^{\rightarrow}(\phi) + d\sigma^{\leftarrow}(\phi)} \propto \text{Im} F_1 \tilde{H} \sin \phi$$

$\Rightarrow$ extract ‘amplitudes’ fitting per $\phi$-bin

$$A_{UL}(\phi) = c + A_{UL}^{\sin \phi} \sin \phi + A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi} \sin 2\phi$$

- FULL existing data set analyzed (1996-2000 data)
- expected $\sin \phi$ behaviour : $2\sigma$ ($1.5\sigma$) on proton (deuteron)
- unexpected, sizeable ($> 3\sigma$) $A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi}$ on proton ($1.7\sigma$ on deuteron) $\Rightarrow$ twist-3 ?

($\pi^0$ background found to be responsible for at most a small fraction of it)
HERMES Long. Target-Spin Asymmetry vs. $t$

- **Twist-3 GPDs**: WW-term + interaction-dep. $(qGq)$ term: $F^3 = F_{WW}^3 + F_{qGq}^3$

- Existing models include only WW-terms of twist-3 GPDs

Lowest $t$-bin: No effect from coherent prod. on deuteron (40% of statistics)

Higher $t$: $A_{UL}(ep) \neq A_{UL}(ed) \Rightarrow A_{UL}(ep) \neq A_{UL}(en)$

Only Proton models exist: for $A_{UL}^{\sin \phi}$, VGG model does ok.

→ for $A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi}$: • VGG (twist-3 only WW) fails completely

• D. Müller [priv. comm.]: Upper limits for qGq (dynamic) twist-3 corrections
CLAS Longitudinal Target-spin Asymmetry

\[ A_{UL}(\phi) \propto \text{Im}(\xi(F_1 + F_2)\mathcal{H} + F_1\tilde{\mathcal{H}})\sin\phi \]

- JLab Hall-B (CLAS), E01-113, $^{15}$NH$_3$, 5.7 GeV [PRL 97 (2006) 072002]

- beam helicities averaged, target polarization 60-80%, dilution $f = 0.78$

\[ \langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.82 \text{ GeV}^2 \]
\[ \langle -t \rangle = 0.31 \text{ GeV}, \langle \xi \rangle = 0.16 \]

- Left panel: dashed: $E = \tilde{E} = 0$; dotted: also $\tilde{H} = 0$; line: fit.

HERMES (right): Ph.D. M. Kopytin

- large $\sin 2\phi$ moment in $\pi^0$ background $\Rightarrow$ bg subtracted/corrected

- expected $\sin \phi$ behaviour: $A_{UL}^{\sin \phi} = 0.252 \pm 0.042^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.020^{\text{syst}}$

- Higher twist negligible: $A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi} = -0.022 \pm 0.045^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.021^{\text{syst}}$
Why TTSA Data Expected to be Sensitive to $J_q$?

$A_{UT}(\phi, \phi_S) \propto \text{Im}[F_2^H - F_1^E] \sin(\phi - \phi_S) \cos \phi + \text{Im}[F_2^\tilde{H} - F_1^\tilde{E}] \cos(\phi - \phi_S) \sin \phi$

**ANSATZ:** spin-flip Generalized Parton Distribution $E$ can be parameterized as follows:

- Factorized ansatz for spin-flip quark GPDs: $E_q(x, \xi, t) = \frac{E_q(x, \xi)}{(1-t/0.71)^2}$

- $t$-indep. part via double distr. ansatz: $E_q(x, \xi) = E_{qDD}^D(x, \xi) - \theta(\xi - |x|) D_q \left( \frac{x}{\xi} \right)$

- using double distr. $K_q$: $E_{qDD}^D(x, \xi) = \int_{-1}^{1} d\beta \int_{-1+|\beta|}^{1-|\beta|} d\alpha \delta(x - \beta - \alpha \xi) K_q(\beta, \alpha)$

- with $K_q(\beta, \alpha) = h(\beta, \alpha) e_q(\beta)$ and $e_q(x) = A_q q_{val}(x) + B_q \delta(x)$ based on chiral QSM

- where coeff.s $A, B$ constrained by Ji relation, and $\int_{-1}^{1} dx \ e_q(x) = \kappa_q$

- $A_u, A_d, B_u, B_d$ are functions of $J_u, J_d$

  $\Rightarrow$ $J_u, J_d$ are free parameters when calculating TTSA
**DVCS TTSA: HERMES Data vs. Predictions**

\[ A_{UT}(\phi, \phi_S) = \frac{1}{|P_T|} \]

\[ \frac{d\sigma(\phi,\phi_S) - d\sigma(\phi,\phi_S + \pi)}{d\sigma(\phi,\phi_S) + d\sigma(\phi,\phi_S + \pi)} \]

\[ A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} \cos \phi \cdot \sin (\phi - \phi_S) \cos \phi \]

\[ + A_{UT}^{\cos(\phi-\phi_S)} \sin \phi \cdot \cos (\phi - \phi_S) \sin \phi \]

**HERMES** 2002-04:
- U: unpolarized beam
- T: transv. pol. target
- ca. 50% of total stat.

[2004-05 data: \( e^- p \uparrow \)]

**STUDY** sensitivity to \( J_u \) (with \( J_d = 0 \)) [hep-ph/0506264, based on Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.47]:
- \( A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} \cos \phi \) found sensitive to \( J_u \), while \( A_{UT}^{\cos(\phi-\phi_S)} \sin \phi \) is not
- only weak sensitivity found to other GPD model parameters
  (profile parameters, Regge/factorized ansatz for \( t \)-dependence)

\[ \frac{J_u}{J_d} = 0, \frac{J_u}{J_d} = 0.2, \frac{J_u}{J_d} = 0.4 \]

\[ (M_X < 1.7 \text{ GeV}) \]
HERMES: Model-dependent Constraint: $J_u$ vs $J_d$

Unbinned maximum likelihood fit to $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\cos\phi}$ at average kinematics (fitting prel. HERMES data against VGG-model based calculations), leaving $J_u$ and $J_d$ as free parameters $\Rightarrow$ model-dependent 1-$\sigma$ constraint on $J_u$ vs. $J_d$:

**HERMES 2002-04 Preliminary**

$e^+ p \rightarrow e^+ \gamma X$ ($M_X < 1.7$ GeV)

$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)\cos\phi} = -0.149 \pm 0.058\text{ (stat)} \pm 0.033\text{ (syst)}$

$\langle t \rangle = 0.12$ GeV$^2$, $\langle x \rangle = 0.095$, $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 2.5$ GeV$^2$

GPD Model: LO/Regge/D-term=0


Code: VGG [Vanderhaeghen et al., priv. comm.]

Quenched lattice calculation done with pion masses 1070, 870, and 640 MeV, and then extrapolated linearly in $m_\pi^2$ to the physical value

Uncertainties on VGG model parameters shown as separate uncertainty ($\pm 0.06$)
JLab E03-106: Neutron Beam-spin Asymmetry

\[ A_{LU}(\phi) \propto \text{Im}[F_1(t)\mathcal{H} + \xi(F_1(t) + F_2(t))\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{t}{4M^2}F_2(t)\mathcal{E}] \sin \phi \]

- JLab Hall-A E03-106 (same set-up as E00-110): 5.75 GeV \( e^- \) beam, huge statistics (24000 fb\(^{-1} \)) on unpol. LD\(_2\) target

- Advantage of neutron target:
  \[ F_1^n(t) << F_2^n(t) \]

  \( \rightarrow \) Contributions of \( H \) and \( \widetilde{H} \) suppressed

  \( \rightarrow \) can also access \( E \)!

- Unpublished (White Paper) projection \( \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \)

- **Difficult to extract incoherent neutron information, because:**
  coherent Deuteron + Neutron = Deuteron - proton
**HERMES Exclusive \( \pi^+ \) Cross Section**

- **REACTION:** \( e \, p \rightarrow e \, \pi^+ \, n \)  
  Access to polarized GPDs \( \hat{H} \) and \( \hat{E} \)

- \( \sigma_{tot} = \sigma_T + \epsilon \sigma_L \);  
  L/T separation at HERMES not possible \( (0.80 < \epsilon < 0.96) \)

- \( \sigma_T \) suppressed by \( 1/Q^2 \Rightarrow \sigma_L \) dominates at higher \( Q^2 \)

VGG (LO+power corr.s) \( \simeq \) Regge-based, BUT: data undershoot both models

Factorization theorem \( \rightarrow \sigma_L \propto 1/Q^6 \):  
Kinematic \( 1/Q^4 \) * Dynamic \( 1/Q^p \)

\( p \) fitted in the 3 \( x \)-bins: \( 1.9 \pm 0.5; 1.7 \pm 0.6; 1.5 \pm 1.0 \)  
\( \Rightarrow \) as expected
HERMES $\pi^+$ Transv. Target-spin Asymmetry

- Large $A_{UT}^{\sin \phi - \phi_S}$ predicted [Franfurt et al., PRL 84(2000)2589]

from interference of pseudo-scalar ($\tilde{H}$) and pseudo-vector ($\tilde{E}$) amplitudes

- background correction experimentally very difficult
- no released data available yet (PhD work going on)
- FULL data set (2002-2005): stat. errors may allow comparison with predictions
HERMES: Vector Meson Prod. on Unpol. Target

\[ \text{REACTION : } e + p(d) \rightarrow e + \rho^0 (+X) \]

- \[0.6 < M_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 1.0 \text{ GeV}\]
- \[M_{K^+K^-} \geq 1.06 \text{ GeV}\]
- \[-1.0 < \delta E < 0.6 \text{ GeV} \quad | \quad \text{differ.}\]
- \[-t' < 0.4 \text{ GeV} \quad | \quad \text{excl.}\]
- \[1 < Q^2 < 5 \text{ GeV}^2\]
- \[3 < W < 6.3 \text{ GeV}^2\]
- \[y > 0.85\]

- SDMEs from maximum likelihood fit minimizing difference between:
  - 3-dimensional \((\cos \Theta, \phi, \Phi)\) decay angle matrix of data
  - fully reconstructed high statistics Monte Carlo set

- 1996-2000 data analyzed; polarized beam \((P_B \approx 0.53)\), UNpolarized target

- 15 ‘unpolarized’ \(\rho^0\) SDMEs measured, precision comparable to H1/ZEUS

- 8 polarized \(\rho^0\) SDMEs measured for the first time
HERMES SDMEs for $\rho^0$ and $\phi$ Vector Mesons

\[ R = \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_T} \quad R^{SCHC} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{r_{00}}{1 - r_{00}} \quad R^{NPE} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{1}{2r_{1-1}} \frac{1}{r_{10}} - 1 \right\} \quad \epsilon = \frac{1 - y}{1 - y + y^2 / 2} \]

- statistically significant violation of SCHC found
- indication seen for existence of unnatural-parity-exchange amplitudes

BOTH: $\Rightarrow$ existence of 2-quark exchange at intermediate energies
15 ‘unpolarized’ SDMEs from HERMES 1996-2000 proton data

- Projection 1996-2007 data

... GPD-based model ($Q^2 > 3 \text{ GeV}^2$):

2-gluon exchange only

⇒ waiting for inclusion of quark-exchange into GPD-based model

(hoping for lower $Q^2$-limit then)
The HERMES experiment played a pioneering role exploring the potential of exclusive photon/meson production towards an interpretation of the data in terms of GPDs. Azimuthal asymmetries were measured with respect to beam spin and charge, and to longitudinal and transverse target polarization. First constraints on GPD models were obtained, in particular a model-dependent constraint on the $u$ and $d$-quark total angular momenta. The HERMES Recoil Detector is in full operation since summer 2006; the goal of $\approx 1$ fb$^{-1}$ data will be reached by mid 2007, the end of HERA running.

Hall-A JLab DVCS cross section measurements suggest twist-2 dominance already at 6 GeV. As also by CLAS, many dedicated high-statistics DVCS measurements on various targets were/are/will be performed, which will have strong impact on constraining GPDs. Plans are being substantiated for measurements at 12 GeV that are hoped to become reality beyond 2012. Exclusive reactions will hence presumably be mapped in the next decade, allowing the construction of precise GPD models which are expected to describe the 3-dimensional structure of the nucleon.